



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/804,885	03/18/2004	Hubert Bellm	1140668-0061	8199
7470	7590	12/29/2006	EXAMINER	
WHITE & CASE LLP PATENT DEPARTMENT 1155 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 10036			KASENGE, CHARLES R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2125	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS	12/29/2006		PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/804,885	BELLM ET AL.
	Examiner Charles R. Kasenge	Art Unit 2125

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 October 2006.
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 11-23,25-31,33,34 and 36-39 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 11-23,25-31,33,34 and 36-39 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on 18 March 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application
	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 11-23, 25-31, 33, 34 and 36-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kazmer et al. U.S. Patent 6,514,440. Regarding claims 11, 25, 29-31, 34 and 36-39, Kazmer discloses a method for monitoring a control for an injection-molding process, the method comprising the steps of: (a) acquiring, using the control, actual values of at least one process variable of the injection-molding process, the actual values of the at least one process variable comprising at least one selected from the group consisting of temperature, pressure, feed rate, and rotational speed (col. 5, lines 31-36); and (b) transmitting the actual values of the at least one process variable to a computer for monitoring the control (col. 5, lines 31-36); (c) evaluating the transmitted actual values (col. 5, lines 36-44), (d) determining based on the evaluated transmitted actual values, at least one setpoint value comprising at least one selected from the group consisting of temperature variations, pressure variations, feed rate variations, and rotational speed variations (col. 11, lines 22-37), and (e) transmitting the at least one setpoint

value to the control wherein monitoring the control, evaluating the transmitted actual values and determining the at least one setpoint value are performed by the computer (col. 5, lines 31-44 and col. 27, lines 49-63).

Regarding 12, 18, 20, 21 and 26, Kazmer discloses the method according to claim 10, further comprising the step of receiving at the computer at least one input from an operator and sending the received at least one input to the control virtually in parallel with the execution of the monitoring of the injection-molding process (col. 15, lines 26-67).

Regarding claims 13, 27, and 33, Kazmer discloses the method according to claim 10, further comprising the step of receiving at the computer at least one output from the control and sending the received at least one output to an operator virtually in parallel with the execution of the monitoring of an injection-molding process (col. 15, lines 26-67).

Regarding claims 14, 15 and 22, Kazmer discloses the method according to claim 12, wherein receiving and sending the at least one input is executed by the computer under an operating system comprising non-real-time capabilities (col. 14 and 15, lines 62-15). Kazmer discloses the method according to claim 13, wherein receiving and sending the at least one output is executed by the computer under an operating system comprising non-real-time capabilities (col. 15, lines 15-20).

Regarding claims 16, 19, 23 and 28, Kazmer discloses the method according to claim 10, wherein the control comprises a software process, the software process executed by the computer under an operating system comprising real-time capability, the software process executing virtually in parallel with transmitting the actual values acquired by the control to the computer for monitoring (col. 14, lines 19-31).

Regarding claim 17, Kazmer discloses the method according to claim 10, wherein the monitoring is carried out using a computer program, the computer program executed on the computer (col. 5, lines 31-44).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles R. Kasenge whose telephone number is 571 272-3743. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30 - 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leo Picard can be reached on 571 272-3749. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.



CK
December 22, 2006

LEO PICARD
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100